PTO/SB/21 (08-09) tease type a plus sign (+) inside this box --> + Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ler the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 09/668,555 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date September 22, 2000 **FORM** First Named Inventor van Oosterhout et al. (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Group Art Unit 1644 **Examiner Name** R. B. Schwadron Attorney Docket Number 2183-4541US ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) Postcard receipt acknowledgment Information Disclosure Statement, Terminal Disclaimer (attached to the front of this PTO/SB/08A (08-00); Copy of transmittal) cited references Duplicate copy of this transmittal Supplemental Information Disclosure Terminal Disclaimer Statement; PTO/SB/08A (08-00); copy sheet in the event that additional filing fees are required under of cited references and Check No. 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 in the amount of \$180.00 Preliminary Amendment Associate Power of Attorney Terminal Disclaimer RECEIVED Response to Restriction Petition for Extension of Time and Requirement/Election of Species Check No. in the amount of Reply Reply MAR 1 1 2003 TECH CENTER 1600/2900 Requirement dated Petition Notice of Appeal Amendment in response to office action dated Appeal Brief Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § Fee Transmittal Form Other Enclosure(s) 1.116 in response to final office (please identify below): action dated Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Additional claims fee - Check No. in the amount of \$ Assignment Papers (for an Application) Letter to Chief Draftsman and copy of FIGS. with changes made in red Transmittal of Formal Drawings Remarks The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees required but not Formal Drawings (sheets) submitted with any document or request requiring fee payment under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 to Deposit Account 20-1469 during pendency of this application. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm or Allen C. Turner Registration No. 33,041 Individual name Signature Date March 5, 2003 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Express Mail Label Number: EV209822551US Date of Deposit: March 5, 2003

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

-

Person Making Deposit: Matthew Wooton



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

van Oosterhout et al.

Serial No.: 09/668,555

Filed: September 22, 2000

For: METHODS AND MEANS FOR THE TREATMENT OF IMMUNE RELATED

DISEASES

Examiner: Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit: 1644

Attorney Docket No.: 4541US

NOTICE OF EXPRESS MAILING

Express Mail Mailing Label Number: EV 209822551 US

Date of Deposit with USPS: _____ March 5, 2003

Person making Deposit: Matthew Wooton

REPLY

Box AF Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The Office Action mailed October 18, 2002 and Advisory Action mailed February 5, 2003 have been received and reviewed. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15 and 18-26 are pending in the present application. All stand finally rejected. A notice of appeal is filed simultaneously herewith. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

As discussed with the Examiner in a telephone conference, applicants are filing this reply in an effort to reduce issues for appeal. In the Advisory Action mailed February 5, 2003, the Examiner refused to enter the amendments presented in the Amendment mailed December 4, 2002 (and received by the Office on December 9, 2002 according to the PAIR system) as assertedly "requiring a new search and new potential rejections over the prior art". Although applicants disagree that new issues were raised, in an effort to reduce issues for appeal, applicants request reconsideration on the following two issues. (*See*, box 3 of Form PTO-303, Rev. 04-01).

Applicants' representative unsuccessfully tried to resolve these two issues by telephone conference with the Examiner.

1. 35 USC 102(a) & van Oosterhout et al.

All pending claims were finally rejected as being anticipated by van Oosterhout et al. The earlier declarations of Dr. van Oosterhout and Dr. van Emst submitted to overcome the rejection ("applicants' own work") were previously rejected for failure to comply with M.P.E.P. § 715.05. Applicants submitted, however, with the December 4, 2002 response to the final Office Action replacement Declarations believed to comply with the requirement. Accordingly, applicants requested that the rejection be withdrawn. As discussed with the Examiner by telephone, the Advisory Action was silent with respect to whether or not the rejection based on van Oosterhout et al. had been overcome by the revised declarations and applicants do not know whether or not to include this issue in the Appeal Brief. Clarification or withdrawal of the rejection is thus requested.

2. June 11, 2002 Proposed Amendments to the Specification

The substitute specification submitted with the response of June 11, 2002 was not entered for assertedly failing to include a statement pursuant to Rule 125(b)(1). Applicants have reviewed the June 11, 2002 Amendment and would respectfully point out that on page 2, lines 6-8, of that Amendment the required statement was made, and would accordingly request reconsideration and entry of these amendments to the specification. Clarification or entry of the amendments is thus requested.

3. Extension of Time Fees:

The response to the final Office Action (mailed October 18, 2002) was mailed on December 4, 2002 and received by the Office on December 9, 2002, which is within two months of the mailing of the final Office Action. The Advisory Action, however, was not mailed until February 5, 2003, which was after the end of the three month statutory period. Accordingly, the period of time for responding (and calculating extension fees) was extended to March 5, 2003 (today), and only a one month of extension of time is included herewith. If this should be inaccurate, however, applicants petition for a further extension of time and request that any supporting fee be charged to Deposit Account 20-1469.

Conclusion

In view of this reply, the foregoing issues are believed to be resolved and, hopefully, need not be taken up in the appeal brief. Should the Office believe differently, however, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact applicants' attorney at the telephone number given herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Allen C. Turner

Registration No. 33,041

Attorney for Applicants

TRASKBRITT, P.C.

P. O. Box 2550

Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550

Telephone: (801) 532-1922

Date: March 5, 2003